

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/761,139

GUMZ ET AL.

Response to Rule 312 Communication

Examiner

Art Unit

James H. Blackwell

2176

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 26 September 2008 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☒ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

The proposed amendment attempts to amend the "said" in Line 6 of Claim 6, when it should have amended the "said" in Line 5. Stated differently, the Lines 3-7 of Claim 6 should have been amended to read:

- loading said document into a memory on a server computer, said document containing conventional tags and at least one custom tag, said custom tag associated with machine-executable instructions resident on said receiving computer in communication with a network;
- providing said document from said server computer to said receiving computer over said network; and

The examiner has received approval from the Applicant to make this changes to Claim 6 via an Examiner's Amendment.

/Doug Hutton/
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2100